# A Study on Corporate Governance Practices followed by Selected PSU and Private Banks of India







Sujoy Kumar Dhar<sup>2</sup>

The process of liberalization, globalization and opening up the contours of national economies began in the early nineties in many countries including India. The banking industry has been all along responding to such changes. Banks have adopted several strategies to change their policies and processes to ensure that they remain fundamentally strong and manage the reforms related to changes effectively. Millions of depositors keep their hard earned money in banks with the utmost good faith that stability of principal will be ensured. In order to protect the interest of depositors', strict governance mechanism has to be followed in banking sector. The present study is explanatory and empirical research in nature and an attempt to understand the conceptual framework, to analyze the corporate governance practices on the basis of their free float market capitalization listed in the BANKEX [BSE] as on 31st March 2016 followed by some selected public and private sector banks. It also focuses on interdependence of board size, proportion of executive directors in the board, net profit earned, net non-performing asset accumulated and capital adequacy ratio in Indian banks.

Key Words: Governance, Non-Performing Asset, Bank, Capital Adequacy Ratio.

#### **BACKGROUND**

According to Confederation of Indian Industries (CII), 'Corporate Governance occupies mind space of the government, regulators, corporate, boards, markets, employees and investors - almost the entire society - as one of the most important business constituents given its all-pervasive characteristic'. The corporate governance mechanism is much more crucial in the banking sector due to multifold reasons. Banks serve a crucial link in the nation's financial system, banks are highly leveraged as they accept large amount of uncollateralized public funds as deposits in a fiduciary capacity and further leverage those funds through credit creation. Apart from these, banks provide access to payment systems and a variety of retail financial services for the economy at large. Interrelatedness of transactions makes the risk of contagion a reality and consistently poor decisions by one bank can create serious troubles for other banks. Banks, more than other corporate have to match the conflicting interests of different stakeholders.

Modern banking was introduced in India during British regime with the concept of unlimited liability. General Bank of India was started in 1787 to act as banker of the Government.Bank of Hindusthan was founded in 1771 in Calcutta. Joint stock of banking came into existence in 1860 with limited liability. Seven banks were set up during 1870-1894 with the feature of limited liability clause. Swadesi movement in 1905 gave up a fill up to indegeneous banks and during 1906-1913, 5 big banks and several small banks came into existance. The four big

banks were - Bank of Baroda, Mysore Bank, Indian Bank and Bank of India (Pathak, 2007). During World war-I and earlier to that nearly 54 small banks failed and nearly 34% paid up capital of banking sector was lost. By the end of 1923, total number of bank failures was 143. From the beginning of 20th century banking has been so developed that in fact, has come to be called 'life blood' of trade and commerce (Munjal, 1990). During the post-independence era, the increasing tempo of economic activity led to the expansion of scope and direction of banking at a rapid pace (Naruala, 1992).

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Ghosh (2005), highlighted that the linkage between the financial condition of the corporate and banking sector asset quality is modelled at the aggregate level. The asset quality of banks is a function of corporate leverage and a set of control variables. Mahapatra (2012) said that Indian banks will have high common equity capital ratio and it will prove to be a good thing for the Indian banks. More than 50% of the Indian banks have a common equity capital ratio of more than 8% and hence these can implement Basel III even today. Since the Government is holding high stake in the PSUs banks, the dependency of these banks on Government for capital support will go up. Roy (2013) opined that Indian banks have a high capital adequacy as of now and therefore they may not need any additional capital till 2015. But after that, when the capital requirements will increase because of the countercyclical buffer requirements, it would be difficult for the public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, University of Calcutta. pemalama.cu@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty Member, ICFAI Business School, Kolkata. sujay@ibsindia.org

sector banks to raise money. It will increase the borrowings of the government and will negatively affect the fiscal deficit. Hence the date of implementing the common equity for the public sector banks should be delayed for 2-3 years to cope with the increasing burden on Government. Saibaba (2013) indicated that in the Indian context, the firms with large board size have better valuation. Perhaps the justification needing a larger board size in Indian context is that SEBI's clause 49 of the listing agreement has both mandatory and voluntary requirements for the formation of different committees such as audit committee, nomination committee etc. Larger board size may minimize the overlapping of functions. Satpathy, Behera and Digal (2015) discussed that NPAs in the Indian banking sector have been on rise significantly which is a cause for serious concern for the policymakers, particularly the Government and the RBI.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

The objectives of the proposed study are as follows -

- To understand the needs of corporate governance practices in Indian Banking Sector
- To examine the comparative study of corporate governance practices followed by some selected Public and Private sector Banks.

 Finally, to suggest some remedial measures while adopting the corporate governance practices in Indian Banking sector.

# RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The proposed study is explanatory and empirical in nature and the sources of data are secondary data(inclusive of quantitative and qualitative data) which are collected through websites and annual reports related matter.

- Period of the study: Forour study, we have taken the financial year (2015-16) into consideration. So all the data collected is based on the annual reports of this duration only.
- Samples of the study: Though, corporate
  governance bind to all type of banks but for precise
  focus the banking companies listed in the BANKEX
  [BSE] as on 31st March 2016 are selected on the basis
  of their free float market capitalization. All the listed
  banks in BANKEX are divided in two groups -public
  and private sector banks to study and analyze their
  corporate governance practices.

Table 1: List of Banks listed in the BA	NKEX [BSE] as on 31st March 2016
---	----------------------------------

Und	ler Public Sector Bank	Under Private Sector Bank				
Sl No	Name of the Bank Sl No Na		Name of the Bank			
1.	Bank of Baroda	1.	Axis Bank			
2.	Bank of India	2.	Federal Bank			
3.	Canara Bank	3.	HDFC Bank			
4.	Punjab National Bank	4.	ICICI Bank			
5.	State Bank of India	5.	IndusInd Bank			
		6.	Kotak Mahindra			
		7.	Yes Bank			
	Source : Compiled	by autho	r(s) from various sources.			

Data Analysis: For analysis part, relevant statistical
technique such as correlation coefficient is considered
between board size and net profit, proportion of
executive directors in board and net profit, board size
and capital adequacy ratio, proportion of executive
directors in board and capital adequacy ratio as well
as the proportion of executive directors in board and
Net NPA. Data analysis is done through excel.

# NEEDS OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES IN INDIAN BANKING SECTOR

The corporate world in general is following a relentless march towards better corporate governance standards and adoption of uniform accounting standards and disclosure requirements. These twin requirements are particularly relevant to the banking sector where depositors' funds are many times higher than the equity of promoters. For effective governance, it has to be ensured that the conflicts of interest between the stakeholders are mitigated. Proper governance has emerged as an important benchmark for

improving competitiveness and enhancing efficiency and thus improving investors' confidence and accessing capital, both domestic as well as foreign. Banks operate on trust and the funds they receive from depositors are on the basis of trust. Last but not the least, in case of public sector

banks the importance of governance is further magnified because of their large share of the banking business and also because of the fact that they are government owned entities (Kamath, 2014).

Table 2: Evolution of Corporate Governance in Indian Banks

Year	Name of the Act/ Committee report				
1934	The Reserve Bank of India Act ,1934				
1949	Banking Regulation Act,1949				
1969 -1980	Nationalization of Commercial Banks				
1991	Globalization of banking sector				
1998	Narasimham Committee on Banking Sector Reform				
2001	Ganguly Committee Report				
2003	Verma Committee Report				
2012	Banking Reform Bill,2012				
2014	Nayak Committee Report				
<b>Source</b> : Compiled by author(s) from various sources.					

#### • Supervisory role of the Reserve Bank of India

Reserve Bank of India (RBI) is the apex body of the money market started its operations on 1stApril 1935 in accordance with the provisions of the RBI Act, 1934.Often the institutional change was triggered by a banking crisis which hurt the reputation of the supervisors. Nevertheless it is an ongoing debate whether the supervisory structure should be reformed and if so in what direction. It can be said without any ambiguity that a flawless, efficient and effective supervisory model can be created if regulatory independence, supervisory independence, institutional autonomy and budgetary independence are free from the political interference and bureaucratic hassles (Masciandaro et al, 2007). The risk based supervision provides major emphasis on risk where risk arises from the asset liability mismatch in the banking sector. A vital issue in the strategic bank planning is Asset and Liability Management (ALM). The objective of ALM is to maximize returns through efficient fund allocation given an acceptable risk structure. ALM is a multidimensional process, requiring simultaneous interactions among different perspectives. If the simultaneous nature of ALM is discarded, decreasing risk in one dimension may result in unexpected increases in other risks (Tektas et al, 2009). The excessive off balance sheet exposure is another area of risk faced by the banks.

# Emergence of Basel Framework

The Basel Committee formulates broad supervisory standards and guidelines and recommends statements of best practice in banking supervision in the expectation that member authorities and other nations' authorities will take steps to implement them through their own national systems, whether in statutory form or otherwise. The purpose of Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) is to encourage convergence toward common approaches and standards. The Committee is not a classical multilateral organization, in part because it has no founding treaty. BCBS does not issue binding regulation rather it functions as an informal forum in which policy solutions and standards are developed. The BCBS recommended that capital can be used to absorb losses. Minimum capital requirements set a basic level of resilience against losses and help to protect a bank against insolvency. Hence banks should maintain certain capital adequacy ratio as a safety cushion.

# SEBI Clause 49

The Security Exchange Board of India was established by a Government resolution in 1988. The SEBI accorded statutory status on 21st February, 1992 as an autonomous, independent and quasi-judicial regulatory body. The SEBI has adopted several strategies to attract the retail investors in Indian capital market. When they are conducting different workshops, seminars through the SEBI certified financial resource persons to increase the awareness

of individual investors; simultaneously they are introducing gradually the stringent corporate governance regulations to win the confidence of the layman investors. The Security Exchange Board of India appointed a committee on corporate governance on 7th May, 1999 with a view to promoting and raising the standards of corporate governance. In accordance with the guidelines provided by SEBI, the stock exchanges in India have modified the listing requirements by incorporation in

them a new clause (clause 49), so that proper disclosure for ensuring corporate governance is made by the companies.

# National Voluntary Guidelines on Business Responsibilities

The National Voluntary Guidelines on Social, Environmental and Economic Responsibilities of Business released by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India in July 2011.

Table 3: Nine areas/principles of business responsibilities adopted by the Government

Areas	Business Responsibilities				
P1	Business should conduct and govern themselves with Ethics, Transparency and Accountability				
P2	Businesses should provide goods and services that are safe and contribute to sustainability throughout their life cycle.				
Р3	Businesses should promote the well-being of all employees.				
P4	Businesses should respect the interests of and be responsive towards all stakeholders especially, those who are disadvantaged, vulnerable and marginalized.				
P5	Businesses should respect and promote human rights				
P6	Business should respect, protect and make efforts to restore the environment.				
P7	Businesses, when engaged in influencing public and regulatory policy should do so in a responsible manner.				
P8	Businesses should support inclusive growth and equitable development.				
P9	Businesses should engage with and provide value to their customers and consumers in a responsible manner.				
	<b>Source</b> : Compiled by author(s) from various sources.				

#### • Companies Act. 2013

The Companies Act.2013 added a new dimension in the literature of corporate governance by way of introducing new definition and features like accounting standards, auditing standards, associate company, CEO, CFO, control, deposit, employee stock option, financial statement, global depository receipt, Indian depository receipt, independent director, interested director, key managerial personnel, promoter, one person company, small company, turnover, voting right etc.

## **COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS**

According to the guidelines made by the Security Exchange of India (SEBI), all listed companies have to conform to the SEBI clause 49. The Board of Directors of the company shall have an optimum combination of Executive and Non-Executive Directors. If the board has a non-executive chairman, at least one third of the total number of directors on the board of the company shall comprise independent directors. If the board has an executive chairman, at least 50% of the total number of

directors shall comprise of independent directors. All the listed banks whether PSU or private has to conform to this guideline. According to listing requirement as per SEBI clause 49, banks have to mention properly about their risk management strategies in their corporate governance report. Banks have to mandatorily maintain certain minimum capital adequacy ratio as prescribed by International Basel Norms. As per the Basel III guidelines, banks have to maintain at least 10.5 % capital adequacy ratio where the RBI has instructed all Indian banks to maintain at least 11.5% capital adequacy ratio. Out of that 11.5%, Tier – II capitals should not exceed more than 2%. The accumulation of Non-Performing Assets (NPA) has become a major threat for Indian banking sector players.

Initially banks try to restructure their bad loans thorough the Corporate Debt Restructuring (CDR) cell. Banks usually offer lenient conditions such as reduction of interest rate, increase in the time period for repayment so that debtors can repay their loan. In spite of that if situation does not improve banks usually write off the bad loans or sell the same to Asset Reconstruction Companies (ARCs). The details of the selected PSU and private banks are provided in **Table 4** below such as board size of bank, number of executive directors in the board, proportion of the executive directors in bank's board, net profit, capital adequacy ratio maintained as well as gross and net NPA as on 31st March, 2016.

Table 4: Details of the selected PSU and private banks as on 31st March, 2016

Sl.	Name of the Bank	Total number of directors	Total number of executive directors	Proportion of executive directors x100	Net Profit (Rs million)	Capital Adequacy ratio	Gross NPA	Net NPA
1	SBI	16	5	31.25%	99506.5	13.12%	6.5%	3.8%
2	PNB	11	4	36.36%	(39744.0)	11.28%	12.9%	8.6%
3	Bank of Baroda	10	3	30%	(53955.4)	13.17%	9.99%	5.1%
4	Bank of India	11	4	36.36%	(60892.1)	12.01%	13.07%	7.8%
5	Canara Bank	12	4	33.33%	(28128.2)	11.08%	9.4%	6.4%
6	Axis Bank	13	2	15.38%	82236.6	15.29%	1.67%	0.7%
7	Federal Bank	10	2	20%	4756.8	13.93%	2.84%	1.6%
8	HDFC bank	11	3	27.27%	122962.3	15.5%	0.94%	0.3%
9	ICICI Bank	13	5	38.46%	97262.9	16.64%	5.21%	3%
10	IndusInd Bank	8	1	12.5%	22864.5	15.5%	0.81%	0.4%
11	Kotak Mahindra Banl	s 11	3	27.27%	20897.8	16.3%	2.4%	1.1%
12	Yes Bank	11	1	9%	25394.5	16.5%	0.76%	0.29%

**Sources**: Compiled by author(s) from annual reports of the respective banks for the financial year 2015-16.

#### **CONCLUSION**

In order to ensure sound corporate governance, chairman and CEO should not be same individual. Apart from State Bank of India and Punjab National Bank, all other banks have implemented Chairman and CEO Duality. The correlation coefficient between PSU board size and net profit is 0.9774. The correlation coefficient between the private bank board size and net profit is 0.5967. Hence large board size facilitates to boost up the performance of

the bank. The correlation coefficient between proportion of dependent directors in PSU board and net profit is -0.4185. It implies more executive directors in the board reduce the efficiency of the PSU banks. On the contrary, the correlation coefficient between the proportion of dependent directors in the board of private banks and net profit is 0.5219. It shows more number of executive directors do not reduce the efficiency of the private bank. Initially it seems that the results are contradictory though the same can be logically substantiated. Lack of red tape,

lesser bureaucratic hassles as well as minimum political intervention in recruitment have provided the private banks more edge with respect to its PSU peers. Managerial efficiency, dynamism as well as professional experience are taken into consideration during the recruitment of executive directors of private banks.

Correlation coefficient between PSUs board size and capital adequacy ratio is 0.3076. Correlation coefficient between private board size and capital adequacy ratio is 0.4895. It implies larger the board size, banks are in a position to maintain higher amount of capital adequacy ratio irrespective of the fact whether it is a PSUs or private. Correlation coefficient between proportion of executive directors in PSUs bank and capital adequacy ratio is -0.7382. Correlation coefficient between proportion of executive directors in private banks and capital adequacy ratio is 0.3294. It implies proportion of executive directors in the board of PSU bank and capital adequacy ratio are inversely related. So PSUs banks having higher proportion of non-executive/independent directors will have more likelihood of maintaining higher capital adequacy ratio. On the contrary, the degree of association between proportion of executive directors in the board of private banks and capital adequacy ratio is comparatively low. Correlation coefficient between proportion of executive directors in PSUs banks and Net NPA is 0.913. Correlation coefficient between proportion of executive directors in Private Banks and Net NPA is 0.7559. Hence it can be said that there is a strong degree of association between proportion of executive directors and Net NPA of the bank irrespective of the fact whether it is a PSUs or private. For PSUs banks, correlation coefficient is almost close to one. Since PSUs banks are not driven by profit motive like their private and foreign peers, often they don't exert their full effort to recover their NPAs. Few cases loans are sanctioned due to political compulsion in spite of knowing the fact that borrowers are not creditworthy enough to repay the loan. More non-executive directors and independent directors in the board can reduce the net NPA of the banks.

It can be concluded from the study that large board size is preferable for good governance in banks. More and more non-executive and independent directors in the board are preferable as the same will help to reduce the NPA of the bank. The purpose of effective corporate governance will be fulfilled only when independent directors will act independently in true sense and utilize their personal and professional integrity as well as professional skepticism to the fullest extent to facilitate decision making process at the board level of the banks.

#### REFERENCES

- Brahmbhatt, M, Patel R and Patel S. (2012). An Empirical Investigation of Corporate Governance Scenario in Public Vs Private Banks in India. International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services and Management Research, 10 (1),12-28
- Das A, Ghosh S (2006). Financial Deregulation and Efficiency: an Empirical Analysis of Indian banks During Post-reforms Period. Review of Financial Economics, 15, 193–221.
- Gauba, R. (2012). The Indian Banking Industry: Evolution, Transformation & The Road Ahead, Pacific Business Review International, 5 (1), 85-97.
- Ghosh, Saibal. (2005), "Does leverage Influence Banks' Non-performing Loans? Applied Economics Letters, pp.913–918.
- Kalluru SR, Bhat KS (2009). Determinants of Cost Efficiency of Commercial Banks in India. ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, 8:32–50.
- Kumbhakar SC, Sarkar S (2003). Deregulation, Ownership and Productivity Growth in the Banking Industry: Evidence from India. Journal of Money Credit and Banking. 35:403–424.
- Mahapatra, B. (2012).Implications of Basel III for Capital, Liquidity and Profitability of Bank. Speech Delivered at National Institute of Banking Management, Pune.
- Mahesh HP, Rajeev M (2006). Liberalization and Productive Efficiency of Indian Commercial Banks: A Stochastic Frontier Analysis, Retrieved July 24, 2011, from MPRA Paper No. 827.
- Reddy, AA. (2004). Banking Sector Liberalization and Efficiency of Indian banks. ICFAI Journal of Bank Management, Vol.3, pp.37–53.
- Saibaba, M. D. (2013). Do Board Independence and CEO Duality Matter in Firm Valuation? An Empirical Study of Indian Companies. The IUP Journal of Corporate Governance, 12 (1), 50-67.
- Satpathy, Asish, Behera Samir Ranjan and Digal Sabat Kumar (2015), Macro-Economic Factors Affecting the NPAs in the Indian Banking System: An Empirical Assessment. The IUP Journal of Bank Management, 14 (1), 57-74.