

**Cerebral - Algorithms in Dynamics of Business Entrepreneurship**

**Prof Dr Jyotirmaya Satpathy**

PDF, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, India

Director, Poornaprajna Center for Neuro-Management & Strategic Brain Research, India

Email: [jyotisatpathy@gmail.com](mailto:jyotisatpathy@gmail.com)

**Prof Dr Sandhya S**

PDF, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, India

Academic Head, NITTE –School of Management, Bengaluru, India

**Abstract**

The study explores how stress affects different types of entrepreneurs by testing their amygdala response through electrical stimulation. It focuses on understanding stress, cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and decision-making skills in high-achieving entrepreneurs. The research analyzes how brain processes rewards and punishments, impacting entrepreneurs' choices to seek positive outcomes or avoid threats. Findings show that the brain's reward system is crucial in motivating business entrepreneurs, and there is a strong connection between neural activity, stress levels, and decision-making results. The paper concludes that understanding these neurological factors can help entrepreneurs create strategies to improve motivation and productivity.

**Keywords:** Stress, Amygdala Response, Decision-Making and Neurological Factors.

---

## 1. Introduction

Stress is an extensive occurrence that influences individuals of all ages and backgrounds (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). Stress denotes body's response to any demand or pressure (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). Even though frequently seen negatively, stress is not fundamentally harmful (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). It serves as body's natural alarm system, gearing us up to confront challenges (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). Nevertheless, chronic or poorly managed stress can considerably hinder physical and mental health (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). Thus, implementing proactive stress management techniques is vital for navigating complexities of modern life (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). It is a reaction to challenges or threats in existence (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). While some stress can be advantageous, excessive stress have adverse impacts on mental and physical health (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992). In recent years, comprehending effects of stress has grown more significant, particularly as world encounter new challenges (Camerer, C. and M. Weber; 1992).

One primary sources of stress in modern life is work-related pressure. One key argument for proactive stress management lies in increasing stressors prevalent in recent years. Rise of social media, while connecting people (read: entrepreneurs). worldwide, fuels comparison and anxiety. Cyber bullying, fear of missing out and pressure to maintain perfect online persona contribute significantly to heightened stress levels (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth; 2006). Similarly, global pandemic created widespread uncertainty, social isolation and health anxieties leaving lasting psychological scars (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth ;2006). Proactive stress management techniques buffer against these pervasive stressors (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth ;2006). Many employees face long hours and high expectations in a competitive souk (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth; 2006). For instance, remote working blurred line between home and work life, leading to increase in stress levels (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth ;2006). When individuals find it difficult to disconnect from work, mental health suffer (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J.

Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth; 2006). Studies show rise in anxiety and depression due to increased workloads and lack of social interaction (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth; 2006). This highlights need for effective stress management strategies in workplace (Kennerley, S. W., M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley and M. F. S. Rushworth ;2006).

Moreover, events in personal life play significant role in stress and overwhelm individuals. These intensify problems, as many individuals reel under uncertainty about future. Such circumstances can lead to a cycle of stress that impacts quality of life, relationships, and overall well-being. Furthermore, pressures of contemporary workplace frequently contribute to chronic stress. Increased job insecurity, longer hours, and blurred boundaries between work and personal life that create a high-pressure environment (Critchley, H. D., C. J. Mathias and R. J. Dolan; 2001). This result in burnout, condition of emotional, physical, and mental fatigue brought on by prolonged or excessive stress (Critchley, H. D., C. J. Mathias and R. J. Dolan; 2001). Implementing proactive strategies promote a healthier work-life balance (Critchley, H. D., C. J. Mathias and R. J. Dolan; 2001).

AIM: To address impact of stress, it is crucial to recognize its causes and effects in order to manage it effectively. Increasing pressure from work and personal life highlights need for proactive stress management strategies. By adopting healthy practices and seeking support, individuals can reduce impact of stress. As we navigate a rapidly changing world, it is imperative to prioritize mental health and well-being to foster resilience against stress. This study explores how stress affects different types of entrepreneurs by testing their amygdala response through electrical stimulation. It focuses on understanding stress, cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and decision-making skills in high-achieving entrepreneurs. Research analyzes how brain processes rewards and punishments, impacting entrepreneurs' choices to seek positive outcomes or avoid threats.

## **2. Amygdala**

The amygdala, a tiny almond-shaped structure nestled deep in brain, is essential for emotion processing, especially fear and anxiety. Amygdala reaction, known as ‘fight or flight’ response,

is a quick and involuntary response to perceived dangers, whether they are genuine or imagined. It's important to comprehend this reaction since it has a big impact on conduct and general health. Sensory input moves to amygdala in response to perceived danger (Lattimore, P. K., J. R. Baker and A. D. Witte ;1992). This information circumvents brain's slower, logical areas, such as Prefrontal Cortex, enabling response that is nearly instantaneous (Lattimore, P. K., J. R. Baker and A. D. Witte ;1992). The effect of Amygdala's reaction goes beyond sudden responses (Lattimore, P. K., J. R. Baker and A. D. Witte ;1992). Prolonged stress or traumatic events lead to chronic amygdala activation, which can be harmful to both mental and physical health (Lattimore, P. K., J. R. Baker and A. D. Witte ;1992). Research indicates that people (read: entrepreneurs). with PTSD, anxiety disorders, and chronic pain frequently have increased amygdala activation (Lattimore, P. K., J. R. Baker and A. D. Witte ;1992). This over activity causes perpetual state of hyper vigilance, challenges with emotional regulation, and higher propensity for diseases connected to stress (Lattimore, P. K., J. R. Baker and A. D. Witte ;1992).

Amygdala evaluates possible threat and, when warranted, initiates series of bodily alterations aimed at readying body for rapid response. Release of stress hormones into circulation causes heart rate and respiration to quicken, muscles to tighten, and body to become alert. These adjustments improve ability to react, strength, and alertness, allowing either facing danger or fleeing from it. Although amygdala reaction is crucial for survival in truly hazardous circumstances, it may be activated in unsuitable situations. Although many stressors in contemporary life are psychological rather than physical, amygdala may still react as though it is in a life-threatening circumstance. Amygdala can be triggered, causing sensations of worry, panic and avoidance.

Amygdala reaction may be controlled with variety of methods. Mindfulness techniques demonstrate to lower amygdala activity and induce feeling of tranquility. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) assists people (read: entrepreneurs) in recognizing and questioning negative thought patterns that activate amygdala. This promotes suitable reactions to stress. Furthermore, by recognizing and controlling amygdala response, people (read: entrepreneurs). can reduce stress, enhance emotional health, and live satisfying lives. Moreover, neglecting stress management lead to serious health consequences. Chronic stress is linked to weakened immune

system, increasing susceptibility to illness, elevates risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, digestive problems, and mental health disorders.

### 3. Methodology

Methodology is based on Stress Test of different types of entrepreneur (N = 01). (Pessiglione, M., V. Czernecki, B. Pillon, B. Dubois, M. Schupbach, Y. Agid and L. Tremblay; 2005). Response of Amygdala neurotransmitter is experienced through electrical stimulus (Pessiglione, M., V. Czernecki, B. Pillon, B. Dubois, M. Schupbach, Y. Agid and L. Tremblay; 2005). Attempt emphasizes inter-connectedness of neuro-transmitters to identify stress, cognitive processing, emotional regulation in entrepreneur decision making abilities (Pessiglione, M., V. Czernecki, B. Pillon, B. Dubois, M. Schupbach, Y. Agid and L. Tremblay ;2005). Study examines how brain processes entrepreneur to pursue or avoid chronic stress and heightened amygdala activity (Pessiglione, M., V. Czernecki, B. Pillon, B. Dubois, M. Schupbach, Y. Agid and L. Tremblay; 2005). Methodologically, study utilizes stress testing on one entrepreneur (N=01)., with amygdala neuro-transmitter response calculated through electrical stimulus (Pessiglione, M., V. Czernecki, B. Pillon, B. Dubois, M. Schupbach, Y. Agid and L. Tremblay ;2005).

#### Experimental Data

The following amygdala neuro-transmitter response calculated through electrical stimulus data were obtained during the experiments.

<b>Table 1</b>		-4166667	8117144						
7736613		66714871	77613683						
77647816		48844417	68388888						
67661468		1836638	68163471						
67816187		61314777	61386776						
61186476		74776716	43884461						
44171681		18788181	66416476						

66784118		61768741	68184167						
68876846		16613418	-7874631						
-7181376		8148678	7848886						
6714781		71647681	1868884						
1118417		46136176	-4138417						
		31677777	66836716						
		8678684	48861131						
		41664431	1167337						
		38186834	18111118						
		17876416							
		-17318884							
		78377168							
		-6781666							
		616471711							

#### 4. Analysis and Discussion

Decades of extensive neuroscientific inquiry and investigation up to the present have emphasized the remarkable and significant roles of the amygdala in both human and mammalian brains concerning emotional processing, fear-driven regulation, and various neuropsychopathological disorders (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby, J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000). Exploring the varied internal distribution and extensive innervation of dopaminergic, serotonergic, noradrenergic, and cholinergic receptors within this fascinating neurological element, the amygdala proves to be a fundamental necessity and key player in the normal and healthy operations of an individual (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby, J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000). Its significance is as vital as our requirement to 'experience' emotions and behave humanely and appropriately due to the fear of threats in life (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby, J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000). In the absence of fear, a person ignores no internal and external alert systems in a world full of uncertainty (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby,

J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000). Without emotion, morals, compassion, and humanitarian efforts may neither thrive nor survive (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby, J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000). Consequently, the amygdala entered our neuro - scientific domain of exploration and focus (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby, J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000). This particularly remarkable area of our brain has come to steer our emotional focus, processing, and reactions, placing considerable emphasis on fear as a unique facet of our conditioned experiences and learning (Phelps, E. A., K. J. O'Connor, W. A. Cunningham, E. S. Funayama, J. C. Gatenby, J. C. Gore And M. R. Banaji ; 2000).

Mental occurrences, nonetheless, dispersed, present the essential issues of the social sciences. What are our fundamental cognitive functions (Macrimmon, K. ;1968). In what ways do we apply them in judgment, economic choices, actions, reasoning, selections, persuasion, and expression (Macrimmon, K. ;1968). Do decision-makers possess the necessary knowledge (Macrimmon, K. ;1968). In what manner do decision-makers make their choices (Macrimmon, K. ;1968). What incentives prove to be the most effective? When is judgment dependable? Is it possible for negotiation to succeed? In what ways do cognitive conceptual resources rely on social and cultural contexts? How do specific outcomes of cognitive and conceptual frameworks become established as common knowledge and methodologies?

The traditional belief that decision preferences in FLUID are established through rational or logical reasoning is being experimentally scrutinized (Friston, K. J., A. P. Holmes, K. Worsley, J. B. Poline, C. D. Frith And R. S. J. Frackowiak (1995). The ways in which decision preferences develop through brain pathways; how the brain evaluates data sources, and what internal mechanisms represent conflicting values are influenced by the consistent generation of 'rational' preferences (Friston, K. J., A. P. Holmes, K. Worsley, J. B. Poline, C. D. Frith and R. S. J. Frackowiak (1995). Nevertheless, the idea that these decision preferences are consistently formed through rational or logical reasoning is being contested by these experiments. The intersection of neuro economics with the wider fields of business, particularly those related to decision making, such as neuro governance, has progressed into the realm of dynamic conditions sciences, creating a more comprehensive framework for investigating such intricate issues.

Two specific research questions are pursued. Firstly, how are incentive based economic decision choices explored and secondly, what part of the brain aids incentive based economic decision making? On closer scrutiny, methodological and philosophical issues lead to issues like What is a powerful neuronal explanation? How can behavioural sciences be integrated with management? Are there reliable empirical methods for testing hypotheses recognized across various disciplines? The methodology includes neuro based opinions to imitate thinking of neurobiology in ‘ economic’ algorithmic research. It is conjectured that to realize thoughts and feelings, and to measure those, there is a call for using scalable vector based EEG (Electro Encephalo Graph). to demonstrate operational models. The methodology includes brain wave examination protocols via scalable vector based EEG. Conductors were used to record electrical activity that affords evidence about circumstances and cognitive processes in the brain. A single subject was preferred for experimentation. Physiological neuro stimulus responses were calibrated to appreciate neural motion and how brain structures respond to sounding. Of brain waves, Alpha and Gamma waves have been factored as a catalyst in guiding towards near optimal economic decision scenarios.

The study of dynamic conditions fluid intellect making and problem solving has attracted attention from researchers and academics across a broad range of disciplines (Nieder, A.; 2005). This extension to fluid intellect research required the study of neuro FLUID conditions and the consequential behaviour of entrepreneur and provides an introductory setting for future research on how ill structured problems are and can be, solved (Nieder, A. ;2005). Neuro decision behaviour in FLUID conditions offers a solution to the lack of understanding of fluid intellect through the measurement of brain activity (Nieder, A.; 2005). It provides a conceptual and arguably idealistic framework for research at the intersection of physiological brain based models (Nieder, A.; 2005). Knowledge of neuro decision responses to FLUID conditions should shed light on the causes of behaviour (and neuro decision anomalies) (Nieder, A.; 2005) and help build theories capable of explaining and predicting the application of and outcomes from fluid intellect (Nieder, A. ;2005). Combining the conventional disciplines above gives an interdisciplinary insight into the fundamentals of neuro decision fluid intellect that has eluded researchers to date (Nieder, A.; 2005). New imaging technologies have created the opportunity

for more complex studies of the mind (Nieder, A.; 2005). The research contributes to the understanding of neurological design and begins to answer the unknown of decision responses to FLUID conditions (Nieder, A.; 2005). The research concludes with specific propositions and guidance for future studies (Nieder, A.; 2005). An alternative taxonomy opening new vistas for future replication studies is then presented (Nieder, A.; 2005).

This paper promotes theoretical models, based on an axiomatic foundation of neuro-feedback, to the decision economic choice. To this end, research aims to develop condensed and abstract models of economic decision-making. This study brings together decision science research areas with the analytical methods of big data in innovative behavioral fields. In terms of methodology, the paper draws on decision theory to assess the impact of the brain on making economic decisions. The approach involves an ECG (electrocardiogram). Neuro-feedback process. These waves provide information about the conditions and mental processes occurring in central nervous system. As part of the simulations (conducted by the fourth author), the response-evidence and reference run complement scalable, vector-based EEG analysis. The paper presents several experiential findings from tCDS . Alpha Wave responses have been analyzed to value neural activity and how brains react to tectonic shifts in the context of discussing theories and practices in decision making. In a decision scenario, research proposes some modeling.

The approach adopted in this research is to combine the theoretical and experimental contributions with a specific focus on the individual's capacity to switch between complex left hemispheric thinking and explorative right brain thinking (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). In doing so the research provides an extension to relevant aspects of business theories and applications of experimental decision science to fluid intellect (gf) (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher ;1999). An attempt is made to observe brain waves in action using experimental based techniques (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher ;1999). Physiological responses are measured by observing neural activity through Alpha Waves and Theta Waves, and how brains respond to stimulus presentation (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). Fluid intellect processes are mapped via a scalable vector based EEG, with conductors, used to record the actual electrical activity of the brain (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). These waves provide evidence about 'Exploratory' and 'Mathematical' task circumstances and cognitive processes used in fluid

intellect (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). The physiology of fluid intellect responses is measured by identifying actual neural activity and how brains respond to appropriate stimuli (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). Experimental based psycho pointers present themselves as being an effective and scientifically based method of exploration (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). With experimental based psycho pointer methodology via a scalable vector based EEG, electrodes are attached to the heads of entrepreneurs to record electrical activity in their brains via a scalable vector based EEG (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). These wave patterns are observed to alter depending on the prevailing mental and physical conditions (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher ;1999). The method relies on replicating the targeted behaviour that corresponds to the a priori knowledge of the composition of brainwaves (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher;1999). A sample of 15 entrepreneur (s) (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). Respondents contributed to the experimental research (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999). Results are such that patterns are observed amongst this relatively small sample, suggesting that knowledge has been produced (Platt, M. L. and P. W. Glimcher; 1999).

## **5. Conclusions**

Neuro decision management (Alpha Wave analysis) offers solutions through a series of measurements of brain activity at the time of decision. It provides a conceptual and philosophical framework for understanding and conducting (Alpha Wave analysis). research at the intersection of neuro decision science, management and psychology. Neuro decision management theory proposes to build brain based models capable of predicting observed (Alpha Wave analysis). Neuro decision management sheds light on causes of behaviour (and neuro decision anomalies). and helps build theories capable of explaining and predicting decisions. Measurement of brain activity (Alpha Wave analysis) provides information about the underlying mechanisms brain during decision processes. Neuro decision modeling would help when new information is inconsistent with goals. Combining the above disciplines gives interdisciplinary insight to define fundamentals of neuro decision making that has eluded researchers.

Overall, it is obvious and well-supported that the roles of the main classes of neurotransmitter pathways, such as dopaminergic, cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic both inside and outside the amygdala, are unique in their focus, yet

very cooperative by its very nature (Mukerji, S.; 1998). Cholinergic receptors and, for instance, neurotransmission play a unique and significant role in memory retrieval (Mukerji, S. ;1998). aspects of cognitive function while entering their results or acting as link point/channel (s) (Mukerji, S. ;1998). using other types of neurotransmitters (Mukerji, S. ;1998). Every memory subset retrieval and association, emotional mood, reward or fear conditioning, and learning as well as brain-to-peripheral sympathetic nervous system responses and processing are essential for directing person's attention to decisions and actions (Mukerji, S. ;1998). It's sound and profound integration of these neural networks, resulting in a deliberate brain part functioning smoothly for a person in relation to emotional condition and reaction (s) (Mukerji, S.; 1998) to outside events and situations (Mukerji, S.; 1998).

Conversely, hand, emotional processing and fear conditioning might be more integrated and tightly connected to generate increased reactions through functional role of women more than men, who are conversely more receptive to positive stimuli (Mukerji, S.;1998).compared to female stimuli (Mukerji, S. ;1998). The factors that contributed to our will not be ignored (Mukerji, S.; 1998).the ages of human history shows evolutionary, social, and cultural impacts on the opposite (Mukerji, S. ;1998).genders' upbringing and neuropsychological well-being as consequence (Mukerji, S. ;1998). Retrospectivelongitudinal analysis might be done to provide insight on this aspect (Mukerji, S.; 1998). Research in futureshould also conduct a thorough investigation into the reasons behind and the key determinants ofimportant functions of left amygdala (as compared to the right amygdala) (Mukerji, S.; 1998) in more emotional reactivity and the degree to which its volumetric change occurs in patientsgroups may have an impact on a broad range of neuro-psychopathological disorders (Mukerji, S.; 1998).

This limbic structure's somewhat close proximity to something might be a plausible explanation (Mukerji, S.;1998) the primary verbal and language processing area in left hemisphere cortex of brain, which further enhances semantic characteristics and memory connections (Mukerji, S.;1998) components of perceived external stimuli, and reciprocally bidirectional modulation of this language center near the amygdala

The paper concludes with propositions generated from the theoretical ‘mosaic’ and presents directions for future research (Hertwig, R., G. Barron, E. U. Weber and I. Erev; 2004). Emphasis is upon rethinking the foundations of decision dynamics by providing alternative taxonomy for decision problems (Hertwig, R., G. Barron, E. U. Weber and I. Erev; 2004). Research recommends; Trail Making Trial (TMT) (Hertwig, R., G. Barron, E. U. Weber and I. Erev;2004)., Verbal Fluency Trial, VFT Animals category, Clock Drawing Trial (CDT) (Hertwig, R., G. Barron, E. U. Weber and I. Erev ;2004)., Digits Forward and Backward Subtests Strop Trial and Wisconsin Card Sorting Trial (WCST) (Hertwig, R., G. Barron, E. U. Weber and I. Erev ;2004) experiments to lend support to this research (Hertwig, R., G. Barron, E. U. Weber and I. Erev ;2004).

## References

- Abatecola G., Caputo A., Cristofaro M. (2018). Reviewing cognitive distortions in managerial decision making. Toward an integrative co-evolutionary framework. *J. Manage. Dev.* 37:409–424. 10.1108/JMD-08-2017-0263
- Abatecola G., Cristofaro M. (2020). Hambrick and Mason's "Upper Echelons Theory": Evolution and Open Avenues. *J. Manage. Hist.* 26:116–136. 10.1108/JMH-02-2018-0016
- Abatecola G., Cristofaro M., Giannetti F., Kask J. (2021). How Can Biases Affect Entrepreneurial Decision Making? Toward a Behavioral Approach to Unicorns. *Int. Entrepreneur. Manage. J.* 2021:4.
- Adinolfi P. (2021). A journey around decision-making: Searching for the "big picture" across disciplines. *Eur. Manage. J.* 39:9–21. 10.1016/j.emj.2020.06.003
- Adolphs R., Damasio A. R. (2001). "The interaction of affect and cognition: A neurobiological perspective," in *Handbook of affect and social cognition*, ed. Forgas J. P. (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers), 27–49.
- Antonakis J., Ashkanasy N. M., Dasborough M. T. (2009). Does leadership need emotional intelligence? *Leadership Quart.* 20:247–261. 10.1016/j.leaqua.2009.01.006
- Antonakis J., Dietz J. (2010). Emotional intelligence: On definitions, neuroscience, and marshmallows. *Industr. Organiz. Psychol.* 3:165–170. 10.1111/j.1754-9434.2010.01219.x
- Ascher D., Silva W., Polowczyk J., Damião da Silva E. (2018). Neurostrategy: An advance through the paradigm epistemological in strategic management? *Acad. Strategic Manage. J.* 17:1–19.
- Ashkanasy N. M., Becker W. J., Waldman D. A. (2014). Neuroscience and organizational behavior: Avoiding both neuro-euphoria and neuro-phobia. *J. Organiz. Behav.* 35:909–919. 10.1002/job.1952

- Balthazard P. A., Waldman D. A., Thatcher R. W., Hannah S. T. (2012). Differentiating transformational and non-transformational leaders on the basis of neurological imaging. *Leaders. Quart.* 23244–258. 10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.08.002
- Barnes K. A., Anderson K. M., Plitt M., Martin A. (2014). Individual differences in intrinsic brain connectivity predict decision strategy. *J. Neurophysiol.* 1121838–1848. 10.1152/jn.00909.2013
- Barsade S. G., Gibson D. E. (2007). Why does affect matter in organizations? *Acad. Manage. Perspect.* 2136–60. 10.5465/AMP.2007.24286163
- Bechara A. (2011). “The somatic marker framework and the neurological basis of decision making,” in *From DNA to social cognition*, eds Ebstein R., Shamay-Tsoory S., Hong Chew S. (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell), 159–183.
- Bechara A., Damasio A. R. (2005). The somatic marker hypothesis: A neural theory of economic decision. *Games Econom. Behav.* 52336–372. 10.1016/j.geb.2004.06.010
- Becker W. J., Cropanzano R., Sanfey A. G. (2011). Organizational neuroscience: Taking organizational theory inside the neural black box. *J. Manage.* 37933–961. 10.1177/0149206311398955
- Blanchette I., Richards A. (2010). The influence of affect on higher level cognition: A review of research on interpretation, judgement, decision making and reasoning. *Cognit. Emot.* 24561–595. 10.1080/02699930903132496
- Boone C., Buy I., Declerck C. H., Sajko M. (2020). A neuroscience-based model of why and when CEO social values affect investments in corporate social responsibility. *Leaders. Quart.* 2020:101386. 10.1016/j.leaqua.2020.101386
- Boyatzis R. E., Passarelli A. M., Koenig K., Lowe M., Mathew B., Stoller J. K. et al (2012). Examination of the neural substrates activated in memories of experiences with resonant and dissonant leaders. *Leaders. Quart.* 23259–272. 10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.08.003

- Branas-Garza P., Kujal P., Lenkei B. (2019). Cognitive reflection test: Whom, how, when. *J. Behav. Exp. Econom.* 82:101455. 10.1016/j.socec.2019.101455
- Braun V., Clarke V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitat. Res. Psychol.* 377–101. 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Butler M., J. O’Broin H., L. Lee N., Senior C. (2016). How organizational cognitive neuroscience can deepen understanding of managerial decision-making: A review of the recent literature and future directions. *Int. J. Manage. Rev.* 18542–559. 10.1111/ijmr.1207
- Camerer, C., & Weber, M. (1992). ‘Recent Developments in Modeling Preferences - Uncertainty and Ambiguity. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 5 (4), 325–370.
- Chen Y., Jermias J., Panggabean T. (2016). The role of visual attention in the managerial Judgment of Balanced-Scorecard performance evaluation: Insights from using an eye-tracking device. *J. Account. Res.* 54113–146. 10.1111/1475-679X.12102
- Cristofaro M. (2017). Herbert Simon’s bounded rationality: Its evolution in management and cross-fertilizing contribution. *J. Manage. Hist.* 23170–190. 10.1108/JMH-11-2016-0060
- Cristofaro M. (2019). The role of affect in management decisions: A systematic review. *Eur. Manage. J.* 376–17. 10.1016/j.emj.2018.12.002
- Cristofaro M. (2020a). “I feel and think, therefore I am”: An affect-cognitive theory of management decisions. *Eur. Manage. J.* 38344–355. 10.1016/j.emj.2019.09.003
- Cristofaro M. (2020b). Unfolding Irrationality: How do meaningful coincidences influence management decisions? *Int. J. Organiz. Anal.* 29301–321. 10.1108/IJOA-01-2020-2010
- Cristofaro M. (2021a). Organizational Sensemaking: A Systematic Review and a Co-evolutionary Model. *Eur. Manage. J.* 2021:3. 10.1016/j.emj.2021.07.003
- Cristofaro M. (ed.) (2021b). *Emotion, cognition, and their marvellous interplay in managerial decision-making.* Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Cristofaro M. Giannetti F. (2021). Heuristics in Entrepreneurial Decisions: A Review, an Ecological Rationality Model, and a Research Agenda. *Scand. J. Manage.* 37:101170.

Critchley, H. D., Mathias, C. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2001). 'Neural Activity in the Human Brain Relating To Uncertainty during. Anticipation.' *Neuroimage*, 13 (6), S392–S392.

Critchley, H. D., Mathias, C. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2001). 'Neural Activity in the Human Brain Relating To Uncertainty during. Anticipation.' *Neuroimage*, 13 (6), S392–S392.

Cropanzano R. S. Massaro S. Becker W. J. (2017). Deontic justice and organizational neuroscience. *J. Bus. Ethics* 144:733–754. 10.1007/s10551-016-3056-3

Cucino V. Passarelli M. Di Minin A. Cariola A. (2021). Neuroscience approach for management and entrepreneurship: a bibliometric analysis. *Eur. J. Innovat. Manage.* 2021:15. 10.1108/EJIM-01-2021-0015

Cyert R. M. March J. G. (1963). *A Behavioral Theory of the Firm*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Damasio A. R. (1994). *Descartes' error: Emotion, reason and the human brain*. New York City, NY: Putnam and Sons.

De Vita L. Mari M. Poggesi S. (2013). Women entrepreneurs in and from developing countries: Evidences from the literature. *Eur. Manage. J.* 32:451–460. 10.1016/j.emj.2013.07.009

Dedeke A. (2015). A cognitive–intuitionist model of moral judgment. *J. Bus. Ethics* 126:437–457. 10.1007/s10551-013-1965-y

Dimoka A. Pavlou P. A. Davis F. D. (2011). Research commentary—NeuroIS: The potential of cognitive neuroscience for information systems research. *Informat. Syst. Res.* 22:687–702. 10.1287/isre.1100.0284

Dunn B. D. Dalgleish T. Lawrence A. D. (2006). The somatic marker hypothesis: A critical evaluation. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 30:239–271. 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.07.001

- Edwards W. (1961). Behavioral decision theory. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 12, 473–498. 10.1146/annurev.ps.12.020161.002353
- Einhorn H. J., Hogarth R. M. (1981). Behavioral decision theory: Processes of judgement and choice. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 32, 53–88. 10.2307/2490959
- Evans J. S. B. (2021). “Bounded rationality, reasoning and dual processing,” in *Routledge Handbook of Bounded Rationality*, ed. Viale R. (London: Routledge), 185–195.
- Fehr E., Krajbich I. (2014). “Social preferences and the brain,” in *Neuroeconomics*, 2nd Edn, eds Glimcher P. W., Fehr E. (Florida, FL: Academic Press), 193–218. 10.1016/B978-0-12-416008-8.00011-5
- Fellows L. K. (2004). The cognitive neuroscience of human decision making: A review and conceptual framework. *Behav. Cognit. Neurosci. Rev.* 3, 159–172. 10.1177/1534582304273251
- Fennimore A., McCue C. (2021). Are public financial managers wired for risk aversion? *Public Finance Manage.* 20, 88–111.
- Forgas J. P. (1995). Mood and judgment: The affect infusion model (AIM). *Psychol. Bull.* 117, 39–66. 10.1037/0033-2909.117.1.39
- Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K., Poline, J. B., Frith And, C. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. J. (1995). Statistical Parametric Maps in Functional Brain Imaging: A General Linear Approach. *Human Brain Mapping*, 2, 189–210.
- Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K., Poline, J. B., Frith And, C. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. J. (1995). Statistical Parametric Maps in Functional Brain Imaging: A General Linear Approach. *Human Brain Mapping*, 2, 189–210.
- Gallese V., Mastrogiorgio A., Petracca E., Viale R. (2021). “Embodied Bounded Rationality,” in *Routledge Handbook of Bounded Rationality*, ed. Viale R. (London, UK: Routledge), 377–390.

GigerenzerG. (1991). “How to make cognitive illusions disappear: Beyond “heuristics and biases.”,” in *European Review of Social Psychology*, Vol. 2edsStroebeW.HewstoneM. (Chichester: Wiley), 83–115.

GigerenzerG. (2007). *Gut feelings: The intelligence of the unconscious*. London UK: Penguin.

GigerenzerG.MurrayD. J. (1987). *Cognition as intuitive statistics*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

GigerenzerG.SeltenR. (eds) (2002). *Bounded rationality: The adaptive toolbox*. Cambridge, MA: MIT press.

GilamG.LinT.RazG.AzrielantS.FruchterE.ArielyD.et al (2015). Neural substrates underlying the tendency to accept anger-infused ultimatum offers during dynamic social interactions.*NeuroImage*120400–411. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.003

GoodI. J. (1962). How rational should a manager be?*Manage. Sci.*8383–393. 10.1287/mnsc.8.4.383

GoslingC. J.CaparosS.MoutierS. (2020). The interplay between the importance of a decision and emotion in decision-making.*Cognit. Emot.*341260–1270. 10.1080/02699931.2020.1741340

GrecucciA.GiorgettaC.LorandiniS.SanfeyA. G.BoniniN. (2020). Changing decisions by changing emotions: Behavioral and physiological evidence of two emotion regulation strategies.*J. Neurosci. Psychol. Econom.*13:178. 10.1037/npe0000130

GreeneJ. D. (2015). “The cognitive neuroscience of moral judgment and decision making,” in *The moral brain: A multidisciplinary perspective*, edsDecetyJ.WheatleyT. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press), 197–220.

HambrickD. C.MasonP. A. (1984). Upper echelons: the organization as a reflection of its top managers.*Acad. Manage. Rev.*9193–206. 10.2307/258434

- HannahS. T.BalthazardP. A.WaldmanD. A.JenningsP. L.ThatcherR. W. (2013). The psychological and neurological bases of leader self-complexity and effects on adaptive decision-making.*J. Appl. Psychol.*98393–411. 10.1037/a0032257
- HarunoM.FrithC. D. (2010). Activity in the amygdala elicited by unfair divisions predicts social value orientation.*Nat. Neurosci.*13160–161. 10.1038/nn.2468
- Hertwig, R., Barron, G., Weber, E. U., & Erev, I. (2004). 'Decisions from Experience and the Effect of Rare Events in Risky Choice.' *Psychological Science*, 15 (8), 534–539.
- Hertwig, R., Barron, G., Weber, E. U., & Erev, I. (2004). 'Decisions from Experience and the Effect of Rare Events in Risky Choice.' *Psychological Science*, 15 (8), 534–539.
- HodgkinsonG. P.HealeyM. P. (2011). Psychological foundations of dynamic capabilities: Reflexion and reflection in strategic management.*Strategic Manage. J.*321500–1516. 10.1002/smj.964
- HodgkinsonG. P.HealeyM. P. (2014). Coming in from the cold: The psychological foundations of radical innovation revisited.*Industr. Market. Manage.*431306–1313. 10.1016/j.indmarman.2014.08.012
- HodgkinsonG. P.Sadler-SmithE.BurkeL. A.ClaxtonG.SparrowP. R. (2009). Intuition in organizations: Implications for strategic management.*Long Range Plann.*42277–297. 10.1016/j.lrp.2009.05.003
- HodgkinsonG.Sadler-SmithE. (2018). The dynamics of intuition and analysis in managerial and organizational decision making.*Acad. Manage. Perspect.*32473–492. 10.5465/amp.2016.0140
- JackA. I.RochfordK. C.FriedmanJ. P.PassarelliA. M.BoyatzisR. E. (2019). Pitfalls in organizational neuroscience: A critical review and suggestions for future research.*Organiz. Res. Methods*22421–458. 10.1177/1094428117708857
- KadoshR. C.WalshV. (2009). Numerical representation in the parietal lobes: Abstract or not abstract?*Behav. Brain Sci.*32313–328. 10.1017/S0140525X09990938

Kahneman D. (2003). A perspective on judgment and choice: mapping bounded rationality. *Am. Psychol.* 58:697–720. 10.1037/0003-066X.58.9.697

Kahneman D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. New York City, NY: Macmillan.

Kahneman D., Slovic P., Tversky A. (eds) (1982). *Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases*. New York City, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Kennerley, S. W., Walton, M. E., Behrens, T. E. J., Buckley, M. J., & Rushworth, M. F. S. (2006). Optimal Decision Making and the Anterior Cingulate Cortex. *Nat Neurosci*, 9 (7), 940–947.

Kennerley, S. W., Walton, M. E., Behrens, T. E. J., Buckley, M. J., & Rushworth, M. F. S. (2006). Optimal Decision Making and the Anterior Cingulate Cortex. *Nat Neurosci*, 9 (7), 940–947.

Klein G. (2007). Performing a project premortem. *Harvard Bus. Rev.* 85:18–19.

Knoch D., Pascual-Leone A., Meyer K., Treyer V., Fehr E. (2006). Diminishing reciprocal fairness by disrupting the right prefrontal cortex. *Science* 314:829–832. 10.1126/science.1129156

Kohlberg L., Hersh R. H. (1977). Moral development: A review of the theory. *Theory Pract.* 16:53–59. 10.1080/00405847709542675

Koontz H., O'Donnell C. E., Weihrich H. (1980). *Management*. New York, NY: Mc-Graw-Hill.

Krueger F., Barbey A. K., McCabe K., Strenziok M., Zamboni G., Solomon J. et al (2009). The neural bases of key competencies of emotional intelligence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106:22486–22491. 10.1073/pnas.0912568106

Lattimore, P. K., Baker, J. R., & Witte, A. D. (1992). The Influence of Probability on Risky Choice - A Parametric Examination. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 17 (3), 377–400.

Lattimore, P. K., Baker, J. R., & Witte, A. D. (1992). The Influence of Probability on Risky Choice - A Parametric Examination. ' Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 17 (3), 377–400.

Laureiro-MartínezD.BrusoniS.CanessaN.ZolloM. (2015b). Understanding the exploration–exploitation dilemma: An fMRI study of attention control and decision-making performance.Strategic Manage. J.36319–338. 10.1002/smj.2221

Laureiro-MartínezD.VenkatramanV.CappaS.ZolloM.BrusoniS. (2015a). Cognitive neurosciences and strategic management: Challenges and opportunities in tying the knot.Adv. Strategic Manage.32351–370. 10.1108/S0742-332220150000032019

LeeE. J.YunJ. H. (2019). Moral incompetency under time constraint.J. Bus. Res.99438–445. 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.10.043

LegerP. M.RiedlR.vom BrockeJ. (2014). Emotions and ERP information sourcing: The moderating role of expertise.Industr. Manage. Data Syst.114456–471. 10.1108/IMDS-09-2013-0365

LernerJ. S.LiY.ValdesoloP.KassamK. (2013). Emotions and decision making.Annu. Rev. Psychol.531689–1699. 10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115043

Lieberman-AidenE.Van BerkumN. L.WilliamsL.ImakaevM.RagoczyT.TellingA.et al (2009). Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome.Science326289–293. 10.1126/science.1181369

LiebermanM. (2007). Social cognitive neuroscience: A review of core processes.Annu. Rev. Psychol.58259–289. 10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085654

LiebermanM.GauntR.GilbertD.TropeY. (2002). Reflexion and reflection: A social cognitive neuroscience approach to attributional inference.Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol.34199–249. 10.1016/S0065-2601(02)80006-5

- Linkov I., Cormier S., Gold J., Satterstrom F. K., Bridges T. (2012). Using our brains to develop better policy. *Risk Anal.* 32:374–380. 10.1111/j.1539-6924.2011.01683.x
- Lochner K., Eid M. (2016). *Successful emotions: how emotions drive cognitive performance*. New York City, NY: Springer.
- Lovallo D., Sibony O. (2018). Broadening the frame: How behavioral strategy redefines strategic decisions. *Strategy Sci.* 3:658–667. 10.1287/stsc.2018.0071
- MacCrimmon, K. (1968). Descriptive and Normative Implications of the Decision-Theory Postulates. *Risk and Uncertainty*. K. Borch and J. Mossin. London, 3–32.
- MacCrimmon, K. (1968). Descriptive and Normative Implications of the Decision-Theory Postulates. *Risk and Uncertainty*. K. Borch and J. Mossin. London, 3–32.
- March J. G. (1978). Bounded rationality, ambiguity, and the engineering of choice. *Bell J. Econom.* 9:587–608. 10.2307/3003600
- March J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organiz. Sci.* 2:71–87. 10.1287/orsc.2.1.71
- Massaro S., Drover W., Cerf M., Hmieleski K. M. (2020). Using functional neuroimaging to advance entrepreneurial cognition research. *J. Small Bus. Manage.* 2020:1–29. 10.1080/00472778.2020.1824527
- Mastrogiorgio A., Felin T., Kauffman S., Mastrogiorgio M. (2022). More thumbs than rules: Is rationality an exaptation? *Front. Psychol.* 13:805743. 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.805743
- McDonald P. (2018). Sustainability management: Research insights from social cognitive neuroscience. *Bus. Strategy Environ.* 27:1355–1367. 10.1002/bse.2184
- Mills A. J., Durepos G., Wiebe E. (eds) (2009). *Encyclopedia of case study research*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Mukerji, S. (1998). Ambiguity Aversion and Incompleteness of Contractual Form. *American Economic Review*, 88 (5), 1207–1231.

Mukerji, S. (1998). Ambiguity Aversion and Incompleteness of Contractual Form. ' American Economic Review, 88 (5), 1207–1231.

MurrayM.AntonakisJ. (2018). An introductory guide to organizational neuroscience.Organiz. Res. Methods226–16. 10.1177/1094428118802621

NeisserU. (1967). Cognitive psychology.New York City, NY: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

NewellA.SimonH. A. (1972). Human problem solving, Vol. 104. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-hall.

Nieder, A. (2005). Counting On Neurons: The Neurobiology of Numerical Competence.'. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 6 (3), 1–14.

Nieder, A. (2005). Counting On Neurons: The Neurobiology of Numerical Competence.'. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 6 (3), 1–14.

OsiurakF.ReynaudE. (2020). The elephant in the room: What matters cognitively in cumulative technological culture.Behav. Brain Sci.43:S0140525X19003236. 10.1017/S0140525X19003236

Pessiglione, M., Czernecki, V., Pillon, B., Dubois, B., Schupbach, M., Agid, Y., & Tremblay, L. (2005). 'An Effect of Dopamine Depletion on Decision-Making: The Temporal Coupling of Deliberation and Execution. J. Cogn. Neurosci, 17 (12), 1886–1896.

Pessiglione, M., Czernecki, V., Pillon, B., Dubois, B., Schupbach, M., Agid, Y., & Tremblay, L. (2005). 'An Effect of Dopamine Depletion on Decision-Making: The Temporal Coupling of Deliberation and Execution. J. Cogn. Neurosci, 17 (12), 1886–1896.

PessoaL. (2008). On the relationship between emotion and cognition.Nat. Rev. Neurosci.9148–158. 10.1038/nrn2317

PetersonS. J.BalthazardP. A.WaldmanD. A.ThatcherR. W. (2008). Neuroscientific implications of psychological capital: Are the brains of optimistic, hopeful, confident, and resilient leaders different?Organiz. Dynam.37342–353. 10.1016/j.orgdyn.2008.07.007

- Phelps, E. A., O'Connor, K. J., Cunningham, W. A., Funayama, E. S., Gatenby, J. C., Gore, A. D., & Banaji, M. R. (2000). 'Performance On Indirect Measures Of Race Evaluation Predicts Amygdala Activation. ' *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 12 (5), 729–738.
- Phelps, E. A., O'Connor, K. J., Cunningham, W. A., Funayama, E. S., Gatenby, J. C., Gore, A. D., & Banaji, M. R. (2000). 'Performance On Indirect Measures Of Race Evaluation Predicts Amygdala Activation. ' *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 12 (5), 729–738.
- Platt, M. L., & Glimcher, P. W. (1999). 'Neural Correlates of Decision Variables in Parietal Cortex. *Nature*, 400 (6741), 233–238.
- Platt, M. L., & Glimcher, P. W. (1999). 'Neural Correlates of Decision Variables in Parietal Cortex. *Nature*, 400 (6741), 233–238.
- Podsakoff, P. M., & Organ, D. W. (1986). Self-reports in organizational research: Problems and prospects. *J. Manage.* 12, 531–544. 10.1177/014920638601200408
- Powell, T. C. (2011). Neurostrategy. *Strategic Manage. J.* 32, 1484–1499. 10.1002/smj.969
- Powell, T. C., & Lovallo, D. Fox, C. R. (2011). Behavioral strategy. *Strategic Manage. J.* 32, 1369–1386. 10.1002/smj.968
- Raichle, M. E. (2010). Two views of brain function. *Trends Cognit. Sci.* 14, 180–190. 10.1016/j.tics.2010.01.008
- Raichle, M. E., & Snyder, A. Z. (2007). A default mode of brain function: a brief history of an evolving idea. *Neuroimage* 37, 1083–1090. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.041
- Reimann, M., & Bechara, A. (2010). The somatic marker framework as a neurological theory of decision-making: Review, conceptual comparisons, and future neuroeconomics research. *J. Econom. Psychol.* 31, 767–776. 10.1016/j.joep.2010.03.002
- Reynolds, S. J. (2006). A neurocognitive model of the ethical decision-making process: Implications for study and practice. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 91, 737–748. 10.1037/0021-9010.91.4.737
- Robertson, D. C., Voegtlin, C., & Maak, T. (2017). Business ethics: The promise of neuroscience. *J. Bus. Ethics* 144, 679–697. 10.1007/s10551-016-3312-6

- RybnicekR.BergnerS.GutschelhoferA. (2019). How individual needs influence motivation effects: A neuroscientific study on McClelland's need theory.Rev. Manag. Sci.13443–482. 10.1007/s11846-017-0252-1
- SadaghianiS.KleinschmidtA. (2013). Functional interactions between intrinsic brain activity and behavior.Neuroimage80379–386. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.100
- Sadler-SmithE. (2016). The role of intuition in entrepreneurship and business venturing decisions.Eur. J. Work Organiz. Psychol.25212–225. 10.1080/1359432X.2015.1029046
- SandbergJ.TsoukasH. (2015). Making sense of the sensemaking perspective: Its constituents, limitations, and opportunities for further development.J. Organiz. Behav.366–32. 10.1002/job.1937
- SibonyO.LovalloD.PowellT. C. (2017). Behavioral strategy and the strategic decision architecture of the firm.California Manage. Rev.595–21. 10.1177/0008125617712256
- SimonH. A. (1947). Administrative Behavior.New York City, NY: The Free Press.
- SimonH. A. (1983). Reason in human affairs.Redwood City, CA: Stanford University Press.
- SlovicP.FischhoffB.LichtensteinS. (1977). Behavioral decision theory.Annu. Rev. Psychol.281–39. 10.1146/annurev.ps.28.020177.000245
- SrinivasanN. S.BalasubramanianG. (2003). Strategic thinking: A neuronal architectural view.Vikalpa281–8. 10.1177/0256090920030401
- StanovichK. E.WestR. F. (2000). Individual differences in reasoning: Implications for the rationality debate?Behav. Brain Sci.23645–665. 10.1017/S0140525X00003435
- StraussA.CorbinJ. (1998). Basics of qualitative research techniques.Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publications, 1–312.
- TaggartW.RobeyD.KroeckK. G. (1985). Managerial Decision Styles and Cerebral Dominance: An Empirical Study.J. Manage. Stud.22175–192.

- Toga A. W., Thompson P. M. (2003). Mapping brain asymmetry. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 437–48. 10.1038/nrn1009
- Tranfield D., Denyer D., Smart P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *Br. J. Manage.* 14207–222. 10.1111/1467-8551.00375
- Treffers T., Klarner P., Huy Q. N. (2020). Emotions, time, and strategy: The effects of happiness and sadness on strategic decision-making under time constraints. *Long Range Plann.* 53:101954. 10.1016/j.lrp.2019.101954
- Tversky A., Kahneman D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: heuristics and biases. *Science* 185:1124–1131. 10.1126/science.185.4157.1124
- Volz K. G., von Cramon D. Y. (2006). What neuroscience can tell about intuitive processes in the context of perceptual discovery. *J. Cognit. Neurosci.* 18:2077–2087. 10.1162/jocn.2006.18.12.2077
- Ward M. K., Volk S., Becker W. J. (2015). An overview of organizational neuroscience. *Organiz. Neurosci.* 7:17–50. 10.1108/S1479-357120150000007001
- Weick K. E. (1979). *The social psychology of organizing*, 2nd Edn. Reading, UK: Addison-Wesley.
- Wenstøp F. (2005). Mindsets, rationality and emotion in multi-criteria decision analysis. *J. Multi-Criteria Decis. Anal.* 13, 161–172. 10.1002/mcda.384
- White R. E., Thornhill S., Hampson E. (2007). A biosocial model of entrepreneurship: The combined effects of nurture and nature. *J. Organiz. Behav.* 28:451–466. 10.1002/job.432
- Zsombok C. E., Klein G. (eds) (2014). *Naturalistic Decision Making*. Lewes, UK: Psychology Press.
- Zwaan L. A., Aiken D., Viljoen R. (2019). The role of neuroleadership in work engagement. *SA J. Hum. Resour. Manage.* 17:1–9. 10.4102/sajhrm.v17i0.1172